

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/807,903	03/23/2004	William D. Llewellyn	TRIPP040	3994
22434	7590 08/24/2005		EXAMINER	
BEYER W	EAVER & THOMAS I	NGUYEN, KHANH V		
P.O. BOX 70250 OAKLAND, CA 94612-0250			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
OAKLAND	CA 74012-0230		2817	

DATE MAILED: 08/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		$\Delta$	W
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	117
	10/807,903	LLEWELLYN, WILLIAM D.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Khanh V. Nguyen	2817	•
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address	
Period for Reply	V/10 05T TO 5V01D5 - 1/0VT/	(0) 50011	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. CD (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status	•		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 N	<u> 1arch 2004</u> .	•	
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application	·		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 10-22</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) <u>9</u> is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	·	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.	•
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	·		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority document</li> </ul>		)-(d) or (f).	
2. Certified copies of the priority document			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio		ed in this National Stage	
application from the International Burea		ad.	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies flot receive	<b></b> .	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary		
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> </ol>	Paper No(s)/Mail D  5) Notice of Informal F	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/15/04</u> .	6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/807,903

Art Unit: 2817

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

The DETAIL DESCRIPTION of the invention failed to specify which signal called first, second and third offset cancellation signals. "calibration mode" and "normal operation mode" are not descriptive to allow one of ordinary make and/or use the invention.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Application/Control Number: 10/807,903

Art Unit: 2817

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors

Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology

Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S.

patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before

November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1-8, 10-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Llewellyn (6,724,248).

Regarding claims 1, 19-22, Llewellyn (Fig. 3) discloses a DC offset calibration comprising: an offset cancellation circuitry (250) can be read as a DC offset cancellation logic having SAR engine (252) operable in calibration mode (CAL) to generate first and second offset cancellation signals (note two arrows coming out of SAR engine (252)) corresponding supply rails (172, 174), and during a normal operation mode generate a third offset cancellation via DAC (158).

Regarding claim 2, wherein the amplifier if reference circuit is comprised one of a switching amplifier and a linear amplifier, see col. 13, lines 26-35.

Regarding claim 3, wherein the reference circuit employs feedback paths via resistors (R13, R14) which is continuous.

Regarding claim 4, wherein the reference circuit comprises one of a single ended amplifier and a differential amplifier.

Application/Control Number: 10/807,903

Art Unit: 2817

Regarding claim 5, wherein the reference circuit comprises multi channels via (Y, YB), each of the channels comprising an instance of the offset cancellation logic.

Regarding claim 6, wherein the cancellation logic is capable of generating the third offset cancellation signal during the calibration mode.

Regarding claim 7, wherein the reference circuit comprises a switching differential amplifier (172, 174) and a differential output (OUTP, OUTN).

Regarding claim 8, wherein the offset cancellation logic is operable to generate the first offset cancellation signal when the first and second outputs are coupled to the first voltage, and the second offset cancellation signal when the first and second outputs are coupled to the second voltage.

Regarding claim 10, wherein the reference can be optimized for operation in a desired frequency range.

Regarding claim 11, wherein the type of frequency range depends on intended use of the invention, wherein the reference circuit uses analog audio signal, see column 3, lines 33-36.

Regarding claim 12, wherein signal processing unit (116) can be read as a processor stage having its output coupled to further circuits which can be read as a power stage.

Regarding claims 13-18, wherein the processor can be claimed computer or as claimed, see column 14, lines 7-39.

Application/Control Number: 10/807,903 Page 5

Art Unit: 2817

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 9 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 9 calls for, among others, first and second up/down counters.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Khanh V. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1767. The examiner can normally be reached from 8:00 AM - 3:30 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Pascal can be reached on (571) 272-1769. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 872-9306 for After Final communications.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KHANH V. NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER